

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 27 May 2024 at 3:30pm**



Present (in Chambers): Alderman Sue Hickey (Deputy Mayor), Aldermen Shane Alderton, Josh Cockshutt, Jan Dunsby, Stuart Slade and Russell Yaxley, Councillors Molly Kendall and Harry Quick

In attendance (in Chambers): Tony McMullen (General Manager), Emilio Reale (Deputy General Manager), Tracey Ehrlich (Director Community and Corporate Services), Christine Lane (Manager Stakeholder and Executive), Emma Watkins (Coordinator Executive & Strategy), Allan Wise (Manager Finance), Michael Sokulski (Chief Financial Officer), Luke Chiu (Manager Property, Environment and Waste)

In attendance (by video link): Mandy Henderson (Executive Assistant to the General Manager), Melanie Burk (Executive Assistant to the Mayor)

Leave of Absence:

Workshops held since last Council Meeting

Date: Monday, 6 May 2024

Purpose: To discuss:

- Glenorchy War Memorial Pool Motions
- Contemporary Asset Management
- Fire and Safety Drills

Date: Monday, 13 May 2024

Purpose: To discuss:

- Hydrotherapy Pool Update
- Property Revaluation – Impact on Rates
- Fees and Charges – Draft Budget

Date: Monday, 20 May 2024

Purpose: To discuss:

- Annual Plan
- Regional Projects Update – May 2024
- Windermere Bay Skate Park Proposal

The Council meeting was live streamed on Council's website, Facebook page and YouTube channel. The peak number of viewers watching the live stream was 29 viewers and 6 members of the public attended in person.

The Chair opened the meeting at 3.30pm.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the original and traditional owners and continuing custodians of the land and their elders, past, present and emerging.

The Chair read a statement noting that the meeting would be recorded and live streamed to members of the public, and about work health and safety at the Council meeting.

1. APOLOGIES

Alderman Steve King

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

SLADE/QUICK

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, 29 April 2024 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

- Today I want to lead with the news last week of the young woman who was racially abused on her way to work in Glenorchy.
- Can I say how sickened and appalled I was to hear about the way this person was treated in our City, just going about her day, on her way to work.
- No-one deserves to be threatened like that. No-one deserves to be treated like that – on a public street, no less, just going about their normal business. I hope that woman has the support she needs, and I certainly hope she has been in contact with Tasmania Police to make a formal complaint.
- The behaviour she was subject to is disgraceful, and I condemn it in the strongest terms, as I'm certain the vast majority of the people of Glenorchy do as well.
- This is not the standard Glenorchy accepts. And it has to stop.
- All of us around this table were deeply affected by this incident so I am going to give each of the Elected Members the opportunity to express their feelings.
 - Statements given by Acting Mayor Hickey, Aldermen Dunsby, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton, Councillors Quick and Kendall. Acting Mayor Hickey read a statement on behalf of Alderman King.
- In other announcements, I want to bust some myths, many of them that have started here in these Chambers during public question time and then spread over social media and beyond.
- These myths circulating in the community cause confusion and concern and imply that we're "wasting money."
- I can assure you that Council's priority is to deliver infrastructure, activities and services that our community wants, needs and deserves.
- Elected members and staff work every day to provide opportunities for our City.
- I encourage you to speak to an elected member or refer to Council's website or Council social media for accurate information rather than rely on unfounded rumours and speculation.
- Glenorchy Pool – there are many myths around the pool. You can find the facts on the Council's website. It lists all the questions and answers asked at Council meetings regarding the pool since July last year, along with media statements and frequently asked questions.
- I can assure you that the pool has received regular asset maintenance which kept it operational for 60 years but was genuinely closed due to serious safety issues.

- There are no plans to sell the pool site, and Council has not made or requested any changes related to the pool site title. Any queries to the land title for the pool should be directed to the Lands Titles Office.
- Berriedale Caravan Park – the myth is that Council spent \$5M for infrastructure for Berriedale Caravan Park. External grant funding was sought to complete infrastructure works. However, the grant application was unsuccessful, so no public money has been spent.
- Loss of BMX track – this was confined to use by Southern City BMX club members who mostly don't live in Glenorchy. It was flagged for relocation through a consultation process in 2020 and was relocated to create a national standard facility that can host national events. Sorell Council agreed to relocate the track to Pembroke Park when a suitable, cost-effective site could not be found in Glenorchy. By relocating the track to Sorell it now supports the whole region.
- Relocation was funded by the transfer to Sorell of State Government funds including a \$250,000 grant specifically for this project and \$433,000 COVID economic stimulus money.
- The alternative was to build it in Glenorchy which would have cost the City over \$2 million and ongoing maintenance costs for the exclusive use by club members.
- CBD Playground – this project did not go ahead. The money allocated for this playground was transferred with State Government approval to fund the CBD revitalisation project. Council is proactively building new playspaces and redeveloping others across the municipality.
- Purchase of land at Peltro Street – this was a strategic purchase given its proximity to a potential transit stop on the Northern Suburbs Transit Corridor. If this doesn't proceed, there is the option to place the land on the open market.
- Glenorchy Jobs Hub – this is entirely funded by the State Government through Jobs Tasmania and will be until the end of the 2026/27 Financial Year.
- Sale of the DEC - \$8M was received as revenue. As part of the sale, Council committed to \$2.4M for the upgrade of Lloyd Road, which is yet to be expended.
- Tolosa Park – there is a rumour that Council spent \$3M to cart fill for MONA to Tolosa Reserve. This is untrue – TasWater accepted the fill from MONA free of charge.
- Council has contributed \$3M to the \$6.2M Tolosa Park Reintegration Project, which is converting the former reservoirs to a usable open space area. Council's contribution comes from the DEC sale proceeds.

- Jack Jumpers High Performance Centre - Council were as surprised as the community about the move to Kingston, particularly given the development application was well advanced and had been sitting with the State Government since early this year.
- There were no delays caused by Council.
- It is Council's understanding that the project did not have a sufficient budget to provide for essential associated works, including traffic mitigation, water, power, and car parking - all necessary under the Tasmanian planning scheme, which outlines the rules that Glenorchy City Council has to follow.
- Whilst Council is disappointed in the decision to relocate the high-performance centre, we are pleased to have had confirmation from Minister Ellis that the much-needed indoor community courts, which were promised to Glenorchy at the 2018 election will still be built in Glenorchy and funded by Government. (Tabled letter)
- This investment is very important to give our young people more recreational opportunities.
- Following Council and community lobbying the State Government for confirmation of the \$5m to repair and reopen the pool, we have received a letter of confirmation from the A/Minister for Sport and Events, Nic Duigan. (Tabled letter)
- Council Officers are working with the Department of State Growth to progress the grant deed and get assurances that we don't have to wait until the budget is handed down in September to start spending the money.
- Finally, I am pleased to provide a verbal update on MI Global's study into the longer-term future of the pool.
 - MI Global are doing a detailed assessment of the three shortlisted options – refer to the Insights Report for three options.
 - The detailed assessment includes functional briefs and concept development; capital and whole of life costs; detailed demand; and financial and economic modelling for each option.
 - An Options Assessment will be presented to stakeholders during June/July. Stakeholders will include Elected Members, an in person public insights session, 2-3 online workshops with key stakeholders, (groups of 4-5 pool advocates, neighbours, schools etc to be held individually by group).
 - Consider feedback and publish Options Assessment for review and comment.
 - Present to Council for a decision on a preferred option – may be one of the shortlisted options or a hybrid solution based on cost and demand.

- Preferred option to undergo detailed concept design, cost planning and modelling.
- MI Global to submit final report for comment by Council and public mid to late July.
- This information and further details on the pool will be available on Council's website shortly.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chairperson asked if any Aldermen had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

No declarations of pecuniary interest were declared.

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Please note:

The answers provided to questions taken on notice from the Council meeting held on Monday 29 April 2024, not only address those questions, but also correct the public record.

To prevent the spread of incorrect information that can damage the reputation of our Municipality, I encourage everyone to verify information directly with Council rather than relying on social media for the facts.

Question without notice – Janiece Bryan, Montrose**Q1: Where are the compliance certificates held for the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool?**

A: This question was taken on notice.

Response: Records are kept in Council's Enterprise Content Management system (ECM).

Q2: Who in Council has oversight around enforcing the legislative National Standards for the operation of a safe pool?

A: This question was taken on notice.

Response: The General Manager is classed as the person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU) and is the duty holder for the work health and safety duty under the Work Health and Safety Act 2012.

Q3: When the Council claimed it was unsafe and had to close, what was date of the last compliance certificate and where is that held?

A: This question was taken on notice.

Response: The most recent Building Surveyor Essential Services inspection report was 27/09/2021. It was the detailed condition assessment work undertaken in early 2023 with aquatic specialists (via Knowledge Asset Management Services and Lacus) that detailed the unsafe conditions. The pool has not been reopened since receiving that report.

Q4: Who is responsible for communicating this to the Insurer and why does it appear there was no Asset Management Plan supporting the maintenance of the pool for the community?

A: This question was taken on notice.

Response: Council officers communicate with the insurer. As has been detailed previously, the pool has received regular asset maintenance which kept it operational for the community for 60 years. The pool has now reached an age and condition where it can no longer be kept operational with just asset maintenance alone and now requires significant capital investment for upgrading or replacement.

Q5: The Council needs to explain to the community why a new Property Identifier has recently been created on the Land Information System of Tasmania (LIST) showing changes that relate to the land that the pool is currently on. Approximately 2/3rds of the actual pool has been renamed King George V Park? Why is Council seeking \$50 Million private investment listed on the Glenorchy Priority Projects for this newly recreated Property pool site? Refer Land Information System of Tasmania (LIST)?

A: This question was taken on notice.

Response: The Council has not made or requested any changes related to the pool site title or the LIST, this enquiry should be directed to the Lands Titles Office.

With regards to why Council is seeking funding for the pool site in its Priority Projects Prospectus, firstly, the funding is requested for the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool site in total. To Council's knowledge there is no newly recreated property pool site.

Secondly, the Priority Project Prospectus request was prepared before the State Government provided funding to undertake the MI Global investigation into options for the site and then promised the \$5 million to repair and reopen the pool. The amount of \$50 million was an estimate of what would be needed to fully redevelop the site based on feedback from Knowledge Asset Management Services and Lacus.

Once the MI Global study is finalised, Council will then be in a position to make direct submissions to State and Federal Governments and through available grant programs to fund the redevelopment of the pool beyond the repair work that the State Government have promised funding for. Neither State, nor Federal funding bodies will grant funds based on estimates, they will require fully scoped and costed proposals, which is what the MI Global report will provide.

Interactions following formal questions:

At the 31 July Council meeting (2023?) at about one hour into the video recording, the following elected member statements were made:

“We have 2 really good regional pools within 15 minutes. Do we really need one?”

“Does Glenorchy actually need a pool?”.

Doesn't the Council realise that this is a very valid and compelling reason for the need of an elector poll?

Don't the residents of Glenorchy deserve a say on whether they need a pool?

A positive response will assist the Council when seeking a priority community infrastructure program grant.

The Council keep mentioning the cost of an elector poll that it would not have been required if people had not been given dis-information by the Council.

Many people I have been speaking to have did not know the pool was closed and were shocked, they proceeded to talk about what the pool meant to them and were worried about our neglected youths.

I am aware of the cost of the elector poll, but it is necessary for the community to have it's say.

The purpose of an elector poll is actually to assist in seeking grant funding.

Given disinformation by Council about the cost of an elector poll, you need to be aware of all the money that Council has wasted on non-core responsibilities, just to mention a few:

- *\$5M for infrastructure for Berriedale Caravan Park.*
Response: This is not wasted money. External grant funding was sought to complete infrastructure works. However, the grant application was unsuccessful so no public money has been spent.
- *\$500K for the BMX track.*
Response: This is not wasted money. The BMX track, which was predominantly used by Southern City BMX club members only, except for club member recruitment days, was flagged for relocation through a consultation process completed by Council in 2020. The track was relocated to create a national standard facility that can host national events. Sorell Council agreed to relocate the track to Pembroke Park when a suitable, cost effective site could not be found in Glenorchy. At the time, estimates to relocate the track in Glenorchy were around \$2.1 million, far exceeding the project's proposed budget. Pembroke Park offers a more suitable regional facility for the BMX community. This centrally located track that supports the whole region was funded by the transfer to Sorell

Council of a \$250 000 State Government Sport and Recreation grant and a \$433 597 contribution from Glenorchy City Council's Economic Recovery Program.

- *CBD playground didn't proceed.*
Response: This is not wasted money. The money was transferred, with State Government approval, to fund the CBD Revitalisation project, which was successfully completed.
- *\$520K for the purchase of land at Peltro Street.*
Response: This is not wasted money. This was a strategic purchase given its proximity to a potential transit stop on the Northern Suburbs Transit Corridor. If this doesn't proceed, it is an option for the land to be placed on the market and be sold at the price then prevailing.
- *\$725K for the MAC.*
Response: This is not wasted money. The cost quoted is presumably operational cost for running the Moonah Arts Centre (MAC) – a grassroots arts centre that provides a broad-ranging community cultural program. Just like recreational facilities that the Council provide, such as dog parks, sporting grounds and playspaces, there is no expectation that the MAC will provide a commercial return, however the expenditure is partially offset by revenue received by the MAC.
- *\$820K for the Jobs Hub in 22/23 and \$650K for this financial year.*
Response: This is not wasted money. The Jobs Hub is entirely State Government funded by Jobs Tasmania.
- *\$8M for the sale of the DEC.*
Response: This is not wasted money. This was revenue to Council, not expenditure.
- *\$2.4M spent on Loyd Road.*
Response: This is not wasted money. This was part of the negotiated sale of the DEC and Wilkinsons Point to the State government. It is yet to be expended.
- *\$3M to cart fill for MONA to Tolosa Reserve.*
A: [Acting Mayor] Quite a few of those things you said are not truthful. We have answered you on many occasions and whilst you believe they are right, they are not. We are happy to take all of your questions on notice for you to hand over for response.
Response: This is not wasted money. TasWater accepted the fill from MONA at Tolosa Park free of charge. The \$3M cited by Ms Bryan is presumably Council's \$3M contribution to the \$6.2M Tolosa Park Reintegration Project, which is expected to be completed in July 2024 – This project is converting the former reservoirs to a useable open space area. The \$3M Council contribution comes from DEC sale proceeds with the \$3.2M balance funded by TasWater.

Point of Order – Alderman Cockshutt

Refer back to the February 2024 Council meeting:

Item 9; Petition seeking Public Meeting and Pool Repair and Reopening,

Motion Point 4; NOTE that there will be extensive opportunities for community input as part of the Pool Redevelopment and Alternative Options project which is currently underway, and that the consultants will carefully consider and analyse the options put to them, before bringing their recommendations back to Council for its further consideration.

Motion Point 5; In light of the bipartisan election commitments of \$5M funding, DIRECT the General Manager to identify priority works required and start planning to safely repair and reopen the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool, while long-term solutions are being explored.

We have already reached out to government about the \$5M funding and commenced work on the seals, so we can re-open the pool. We cannot open the pool until it is safe.

- *Do you have a firm commitment for the \$5m funding.*
A: [Acting Mayor] I have emailed and called all that promised the \$5M. This financial year we have a \$200K expected surplus. The elector poll will cost ratepayers \$250K.

Asked for Ms Bryan to take her seat.

Clarifying Response: Following the State Election, the Acting Mayor spoke to the Premier and left a message for Sport and Events Minister Nic Street to ask for the \$5 million as soon as possible so that Council can commence work on repairing and reopening the pool. These phone calls were then followed up by a letter signed by all Elected Members to both the Premier and Minister asking the same. A copy of this letter was also sent to all sitting member of Clark.

In addition a meeting was held with Madeleine Ogilvie on 29 April to discuss the pool and request the release of \$1 million in the first instance to start preparatory work immediately.

The Acting Mayor is awaiting a response from the Liberal Government.

- *A Land Information System Tasmania map suggests the pool site and KGV Oval are all on one title and is evidence of a Council conspiracy to sell the site.*
Response: Council is not planning to sell the site. As stated earlier, any questions about changes to the LIST map should be directed to the Lands Titles Office.

Point of Order – Alderman Alderton

Can we request that Ms Bryan provide concrete evidence to back her documentation up, provide evidence to Council rather than accusations?

Question without notice – Mala Crew, Glenorchy

Q1: Further to the recent incident in Lutana, I have prepared a petition to Parliament and ask whether GCC is prepared to be an active partner in the initiative addressing racially motivated violence, working in harmony with police and political parties? Youths need facilities, green spaces, etc.

A: [Acting Mayor] I commend Ms Crew on the question, I confirm that we are all at our wits end on how to improve the situation in Glenorchy, Australia and Globally with disengaged youths. We are committed to a 'Safer Communities Working Group' with Elected Members. We are still working out the Terms of Reference.

[Alderman Slade] We do have an internal group. Is there something that can be harnessed?

[General Manager] Have not seen what is in the petition yet, however we have the capacity to look at this as a priority.

[Alderman King] We are constantly committed to doing activities to engage youths on the Council lawns (breakfast clubs, afternoon barbeques, basketball and music).

Point of Order (Alderman Dunsby)

We appear to be having a debate in question time.

The Acting Mayor's ruling was that everyone is adding value to the topic.

[Acting Mayor] The question was taken on notice.

Response: Council regularly engages and partners with organisations working towards an inclusive and engaged city. Recent partnering programmes with PCYC and Mission Australia have provided a number of engagement activities on Council's front lawns. Council is currently working with Karadi planning Reconciliation Week activities.

Council recently held two family fun days at Benjafield and attracted over 1500 residents, providing a sense of community to those involved.

Additionally, Council supports the Glenorchy Jobs Hub which has a Youth Connector working towards increasing youth training and employment.

Council is also commencing an Access and Inclusion Committee which not only examines accessibility within Glenorchy, but also inclusiveness. This committee has a number of key stakeholders informing Council.

Council has also implemented a Safe Cities working group which meets with the Police, Mission Beat and the Salvation Army. This group allows two way communications between law enforcement and Council and assists both with responses to youth and racial issues.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Question with notice – Eddy Steenbergen, Rosetta

(Received Thursday, 2 May 2024)

Hello I am interested in the status of the land in this title on Berriedale Peninsula shown on this map and listed in The List website as owned by Council (Property ID: 9607379, Title Reference: 181869/1). I've read in Facebook and elsewhere various assertions about the ownership and status of the land on Berriedale Peninsula and council's relationships with MONA and tenants on that land. I would like the facts of the current situation.



Q1: Is Council the sole owner of the land covered by the title?

Response: Yes

Q2: I understand there are tenancies on portions of the title. Can Council provide the following information for each tenancy:

- a. The name of the tenant.
- b. The nature of the tenancy (e.g., lease – with or without expiry – with or without option to extend, rental, rent-free, etc).
- c. A map showing the boundary of the land covered.
- d. A copy of Councils agreement/contract with the tenant.



A – Licence to MONA for carparking. 2 years but can continue month to month with hold over provisions. Commercial fee.

B – Lease to Glenorchy City Bowls Club. 10 years but can continue month to month with hold over provisions. Discounted fee for sporting clubs. Section 178 land disposal process approved as per Council Meeting minutes 29 November 2021 (as required for lease >5 years).

C – Lease to City of Glenorchy Tennis Club. 10 years but can continue month to month with hold over provisions. Discounted fee for sporting clubs. Section 178 land disposal process approved as per Council Meeting minutes 29 November 2021 (as required for lease >5 years).

D – Lease to MONA for development and carparking. 10 years with extension options up to 99 years. Commercial fee. Section 178 land disposal process approved as per Council Meeting minutes 27 April 2020 (as required for lease >5 years). Note the BMX side of the site/lease not yet activated.

E – Licence to MONA for worm chapel, art exhibit, greenhouses, storage and parking. 5 years but can continue month to month with hold over provisions. Commercial fee. Note that copies of the agreements have not been provided as requested, as these are commercial in confidence. The enquirer would need to obtain the permission of the tenants for these to be released.

Q3: Can you list any active development permits covering any part of the title?

Response: The only active development application that is undetermined for the site is for a residential dwelling and museum addition for MONA. The only reason that 1 Alcorso Drive (Title reference: 181869/1) forms part of that application is that it creates some consequential stormwater connection works on the site.

Two recent approvals have been issued for the site. A permit for a caravan park (by MONA) was issued in Dec 2020. It is still current and has not yet been acted upon. An application for a generic approval of a food van site (by GCC) was approved in 2022.

Q4: Has Council a standing arrangement, formal or informal, to give landowner consent to any future DA from MONA on the Caravan Park land or any other part of the title?

Response: No. As noted below MONA already has a planning permit for the caravan park site.

Q5: When BMX activity has ceased, who or what will be responsible for making that land “development ready” and who will bear the cost?

Response: The tenant – MONA.

Q6: Final question relates to the TasWater Cameron Point treatment plant. I understand that via a MOU with MONA, TasWater committed to fixing a ‘odour’ problem allegedly holding up MONA development plans. Has TasWater fulfilled their commitment, and if so, did it remove the problem?

Response: In December 2020 a planning permit was issued to MONA for a caravan park on the site. A condition of the permit prevented the use (as a caravan park) from commencing until MONA were able to demonstrate that the level of odour experienced on the site, from the adjacent sewerage treatment plant, did not cause any environmental harm (including environmental nuisance). MONA have since been working with TasWater to upgrade elements of the plant to reduce odour emissions.

This condition of the permit has not yet been satisfied. Any requests on the progress of the upgrades should be directed to MONA.

Question with notice – Eddy Steenbergen, Rosetta**(Received Sunday, 5 May 2024)**

At the April 2024 Open Council I asked: *"Can Council provide me with any evidence or statistics to reassure me that any of the plastic I put into my yellow bin is in fact being recycled or reused?"*

In response, I was first given a brief synopsis of the recycling situation including Cleanaway and the Southern Tasmania Regional Waste Authority (STRWA). The second part of the response informed me that Council does indeed receive detailed statistics relating the amount of various types of material that are processed by Cleanaway. I was informed that the statistics were for "internal use". When I asked if Council would publish those statistics, the answer was non-committal.

Q1: What "internal use" are the statistics put to?

Response: They are provided to Councils for information on volumes of recyclables and comparisons across the various municipal areas.

Q2: Will Council publish the most recent statistics and each new set of statistics as they are received by Council, and if not, why not?

Response: The reports include information on all of the Southern Councils, not just Glenorchy, as well as commercial information relating to the commodities and processors. The reports are received with a "confidential" marking. Council is happy to request if the reports, or a version of the reports, can be publicly released by the regional body each month.

Q2a: I didn't quite understand what Council actually did with the reports. Can you clarify that please?

Response: They are simply provided as 'for information' reports to Council. They provide GCC with information on volumes of recyclables and comparisons across the different Council areas.

Q2b: Is the confidentiality a provision of STRWA's contract with Cleanaway? If not, who marks the reports as "confidential" - Cleanaway or STRWA or someone else?

Response: Cleanaway.

Q2c: I would definitely like Council to follow up to find out if the entire reports (or portions) might be made publicly available. Can you make that follow up happen?

Response: Yes, these discussions have already been had with STRWA, and are currently underway between STRWA and Cleanaway.

Question with notice – Leeanne Rose, Glenorchy

(Received Monday, 20 May 2024)

Q1: Has Council put in their submission for with the territories, regions and cities Thriving Suburbs Program grant in order to Save Glenorchy War Memorial Pool long term solution?

Response: Council is not in the position to apply for grants for the pool until the MI Global study is completed which will include the concept plans, business case and costings.

The grant program EOI that is referenced requires projects to be 'shovel ready', which we would not yet be able to demonstrate. There is also a 50% contribution from Council required. This referenced grant program is also not yet open for applications, it has simply been announced as a "forecast funding opportunity" (i.e., coming in the future). Council will however monitor this potential opportunity for the pool and other suitable projects.

From their website:

Important information: *the Forecast Funding Opportunity is subject to revision, withdrawal or cancellation. Information in forecasted opportunities is provided for planning purposes only. It does not represent a commitment by the Australian Government, is not the approved guidelines and does not provide confirmation that the program is open. Applications cannot be submitted at this time.*

Please continue to monitor this page for updates on this Forecast Funding Opportunity and the program guidelines.

Question without notice – Deanne Gillie, Granton

Q1: Do you think that the Elected Members should act as outlined in their Statement of Intent and own up to their own wrongs and have some self-reflecting? This is in relation to comments made by Councillor Quick at the last Council meeting.

A: [Acting Mayor] Questions should not target any individual Elected Member.

Q2: Will you look into opening up the Glenorchy Pool shower block together with the Salvation Army or similar organisation to provide facilities for people sleeping rough?

A: [Acting Mayor] That is a wonderful suggestion. I think it is merit worthy. We will look into it.

This question was taken on notice.

Question without notice – Mala Crew, Glenorchy

Q1: Can I assume the Council has adopted my petition to Parliament as a blueprint for addressing racism in the region?

A: [Acting Mayor] Yes, Council is happy to work with you.

[Alderman Alderton] Can I please request that this be shared with all Elected Members.

Q2: The problems with anti-social behaviour affecting Constance Avenue residents, has not been resolved. They have had a letter from Mr Emilio Reale stating the following.....(copy of email) “Hi xxxxx, Just letting you know that we are looking at options for the fencing, but as I mentioned in my email it is more difficult to completely resolve. We will come back to you in a couple of weeks when we have done some investigations”.

A: [Deputy General Manager] The Carpark works will be going ahead where we will be installing concrete wheel stops down the centre of the gravel carpark to reduce the ability to do hooning and donuts in the gravel. The fencing needs further investigations as extending the existing fence would not be stable and wouldn't prevent people coming up the pipes. We are also investigating stormwater piping options to try and reduce access.

[Ms Crew] Grateful for the action that Council has taken and that you have addressed it at the same time, thank you very much.

[Acting Mayor] Everyone here would like to see more practical things, once something is identified in the Community that comes to us, we can then address it.

Question without notice – Deanne Gillie, Granton (on behalf of Michelle Austen)

Q1: Where are historical archives being kept?

A: [Acting Mayor] This question was taken on notice.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

COMMUNITY

Community Goal – Making Lives Better

8. ACTIVITIES OF THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive an update on the recent activities of the former Mayor and Acting Mayor.

Resolution:

SLADE/QUICK

That Council:

1. RECEIVE the report about the activities of former Mayor Thomas and Acting Mayor Hickey during the period from Monday, 22 April 2024 to Sunday, 19 May 2024.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ECONOMIC

Community Goal – Open for Business

9. PROPOSED WASTE MANAGEMENT FEES & CHARGES 2024/2025

File Reference: Waste Fees and Charges

Reporting Brief:

This report addresses the setting of waste management and landfill fees and charges for the 2024/25 financial year as required by Section 205 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Early consideration of fees and charges allows for reasonable communication to the public and businesses for the 2024/25 financial year. It also allows for signage to be ready (e.g. waste management signs) and for receipting systems to be prepared.

Resolution:

QUICK/KENDALL

That Council:

1. APPROVE the below kerbside fees for the 2024/2025 financial year; and
2. APPROVE the below disposal charges for the Jackson Street Waste Management Centre for the 2024/2025 financial year.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED

1. APPROVE the following kerbside fees for the 2024/2025 financial year:

SERVICE TYPE	2023/2024 fees	Increase %	Increase amount	Final rounded charge 2024/2025
Standard Service				
Residential Garbage – 140L F/N	\$107.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$10.70	\$117.70
Residential Recycling – 140L/240L F/N	\$110.00	5%	\$5.50	\$115.50
Residential FOGO – 140L/240L F/N	\$85.00	5%	\$4.30	\$89.30
Change bin from 240L to 140L	No charge	N/A	N/A	No charge
Residential Garbage - 240L F/N (increase bin size from 140L)	\$188.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$18.80	\$206.80
Shared Services				
Residential Garbage – Shared Services	\$107.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$10.70	\$117.70
Residential Recycling – Shared Services	\$110.00	5%	\$5.50	\$115.50
FOGO Shared Service	\$85.00	5%	\$4.30	\$89.30
Other Services				
Commercial Garbage – 140L F/N	\$186.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$18.60	\$204.60
Group Home Garbage – 140L W (W140LCG)	\$325.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$32.50	\$357.50
Commercial Garbage – 240L F/N	\$319.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$31.90	\$350.90
Group Home Garbage – 240L W (W240LCG)	\$554.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$55.40	\$609.40
Commercial Recycling – 140L & 240L F/N	\$110.00	5%	\$5.50	\$115.50
Group Home Recycling – 140L & 240L W (WLCR)	\$218.00	5%	\$10.90	\$228.90
Commercial FOGO – 140L & 240L F/N (New Service)	\$85.00	5%	\$4.30	\$89.30
Special Garbage – 140L F/N	\$214.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$21.40	\$235.40
Special Garbage – 240L F/N	\$368.00	10% (includes levy increase)	\$36.80	\$404.80
Special Recycling – 140L & 240L F/N	\$218.00	5%	\$10.90	\$228.90
Special FOGO – 140L & 240L F/N	\$220.00	5%	\$11.00	\$231.00

Notes:

W – weekly

F/N – fortnightly

All Residential tenements may upgrade their garbage service type from a 140 litre service to a 240 litre service fortnightly by paying the relevant charge listed above.

There is no minimum number of residents required to utilise this service. Upgrades are required to be applied for by the resident/ratepayer for the property.

Weekly collections are for unit complexes only. However, all individual bin service residents have the option to upgrade to weekly collection by arrangement directly with Council's kerbside garbage collection provider, (Veolia).

Special circumstance garbage, FOGO and recycling is a fortnightly service that manages a number of existing circumstances.

2. APPROVE the following disposal charges for the Jackson Street Waste Management Centre for the 2024/2025 financial year:

WASTE TYPE	2023 / 2024 (incl GST)	Increase %	2024 / 2025 Charges (incl GST)	Proposed final charges 2024 / 2025 (incl GST & SLL).
Minimum gate fee	\$15 per visit	10% (noting zero increase last year)	\$16.50 per visit	\$17 per visit
Passenger tyres	\$11 each	9%	\$12 each	\$12 each (levy N / A)
Light truck / 4wd tyres	\$21 each	5%	\$22 each	\$22 each (levy N / A)
Clean fill (conditions apply)	\$30 / tonne	7%	\$32 / tonne	\$77 / tonne
Brick / concrete / rubble	\$85.80 / tonne	6%	\$91 / tonne	\$136 / tonne
Green waste / vegetation (domestic)	\$84.70 / tonne	5%	\$89 / tonne	\$134 / tonne
Green waste / vegetation (commercial)	\$106.70	5%	\$112 / tonne	\$157 / tonne
General waste – domestic GCC residents* (cars and single axle trailers only), and community groups	\$100.60 / tonne	5%	\$106 / tonne	\$151 / tonne
General waste – Non GCC residents	\$144 / tonne	5%	\$151 / tonne	\$196 / tonne
Mattresses	\$26 each	4%	\$27 each	\$27 each
Mixed waste – commercial / industrial / demolition / construction	\$228 / tonne	5%	\$240 / tonne	\$285 / tonne
Metal	\$115 / tonne	5%	\$121 / tonne	\$121 / tonne (levy N / A)
Recycling	No charge		No charge	No charge
Domestic quantity of double wrapped asbestos	\$52 / boot load \$104 / small trailer load	5%	\$55 / boot load \$110 / small trailer load	\$55 / boot load \$110 / small trailer load (levy N / A)
Controlled waste / special burial – (Tas Police & State Health Only)	\$150 / special waste handling fee plus \$180 / tonne	N / A	\$165 / special waste handling fee plus \$285 / tonne	\$165 / special waste handling fee plus \$285 / tonne

* A driver's licence or valid ID would need to be presented to the tollbooth operator to receive the discounted general waste rate.

Waste type (only when weighbridge is non-operational)	Proposed charges 2024/25 (inc GST)	Increase %	Proposed charges 2024/25 (inc GST)
Boot Load (up to a maximum of 0.25m ³)	\$16.00	5%	\$16.80
Green Waste Boot Load	\$16.00	5%	\$16.80
Trucks GVM > 3 tonne to 7 tonne	\$86.00	5%	\$90.30
Trucks GVM > 7 tonne to 12 tonne	\$195.70	5%	\$205.50
Trucks GVM >12 tonne Single Axle	\$281.60	5%	\$295.70
Trucks GVM >12 tonne Dual Axle	\$346.90	5%	\$364.20
Dual axle trailers (behind trucks)	\$346.90	5%	\$364.20
Skip/Bin up to 4m ³	\$117.10	5%	\$122.90
Skip/Bin > 4m ³ to 8m ³	\$225.80	5%	\$237.10
Skip/Bin > 8m ³ to 12m ³	\$366.50	5%	\$384.80
Skip/Bin > 12m ³ to 15m ³	\$461.70	5%	\$484.80
Skip/Bin > 15m ³ to 20m ³	\$602.50	5%	\$632.60
Skip/Bin > 20m ³ to 25m ³	\$712.20	5%	\$747.80
Skip/Bin > 25m ³ to 30m ³	\$820.90	5%	\$861.90
Skip/Bin > 30m ³	\$1040.30	5%	\$1092.30
Compactors < 7m ³	\$276.50	5%	\$290.30
Compactors > 7m ³ to 15m ³	\$590.10	5%	\$619.60
Compactors < 15m ³ half full	\$439.90	5%	\$461.90
Compactors > 15m ³ full	\$994.80	5%	\$1044.50
Compactors > 15m ³ half full	\$628.40	5%	\$659.80

ENVIRONMENT

Community Goal – Valuing our Environment

10. CLIMATE CHANGE PLANS

File Reference: Climate Change

Reporting Brief:

To seek Council endorsement of the Glenorchy City Council Climate Change Mitigation Action Plan after considering the results from the community engagement.

Resolution:

KENDALL/YAXLEY

That Council:

1. APPROVE the *Glenorchy City Council Climate Change Mitigation Action Plan*, set out in Attachment 1.
2. RECEIVE AND NOTE the *Glenorchy City Council Climate Change Adaptation Plan*, set out in Attachment 3.
3. BRING a report to Council 12 monthly and as needed if a risk is identified.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

11. CAPITAL WORKS STATUS REPORT

File Reference: Capital Works

Reporting brief:

To provide a capital works status update report to Council and report progress on the Capital works program budget.

Resolution:

COCKSHUTT/DUNSBY

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the capital works status report to 30 April 2024.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal – Leading our Community

12. COUNCIL FEES AND CHARGES 2024/25

File Reference: Fees and Charges 2024/25

Reporting Brief:

To present the recommended Schedule of Fees and Charges for the 2024/25 financial year.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/ALDERTON

That Council:

1. APPROVE the fees and charges for the 2024/25 financial year as detailed in the Schedule of Fees and Charges set out in [Attachment 1](#)
2. NOTE that fees and charges for Waste Management and Landfill are subject to approval as part of a separate report to this Council meeting.
3. AMEND the Schedule of Fees and Charges, if necessary, to reflect any changes to the recommended fees and charges for Waste Management and Landfill arising from Council's consideration of the separate report referred to in item 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT TO 30 APRIL 2024

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

Reporting Brief:

To provide Council with the monthly Financial Performance Report for the period ending 30 April 2024.

Resolution:

YAXLEY/DUNSBY

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the Financial Performance Report for the year-to-date ending 30 April 2024 as set out in Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. ELECTOR POLL ON GLENORCHY WAR MEMORIAL POOL

File Reference: Elector poll for Glenorchy pool

Reporting Brief:

To formally advise Council of the requirement to hold an elector poll on the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool by 22 June 2024 and the associated arrangements.

Resolution:

QUICK/COCKSHUTT

That Council:

1. NOTE the requirement to hold an elector poll on the Glenorchy War Memorial Pool by 22 June 2024 and the arrangements for it that are described in this report.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
 Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. TRIAL OF OPEN TO THE PUBLIC COUNCIL WORKSHOP SESSIONS

File Reference: Aldermen Administration

Reporting Brief:

For Council to consider whether to trial open to the public Council workshop sessions as a part of its overall Council workshop program.

Resolution:

COCKSHUTT/ALDERTON

That Council:

1. APPROVE a trial for up to 6 months (subject to satisfactory performance) of monthly, open to the public Council workshop sessions starting in July 2024.
2. CONDUCT the trial based on the “proposed features of a trial of open to the public workshop sessions” in the body of this report.
3. DIRECT the General Manager to bring a report on the review of the trial of open to the public Council workshop sessions to the February 2025 ordinary Council meeting setting out recommendations as to the continuation or otherwise of those sessions and any other relevant matters.
4. CONTINUE to hold closed to the public Council workshop sessions to address matters requiring Council officer to elected member briefings and dialogue of a strictly non-decision making nature, preparatory to formal decision-making processes in Council meetings and Council committee meetings.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

16. APPOINTMENT OF ELECTED MEMBERS TO THE SAFER COMMUNITIES WORKING GROUP

File Reference: Committees

Reporting Brief:

The purpose of this report is to recommend that Council selects and appoints two Elected Members to the Safer Communities Working Group in accordance with statutory and policy requirements.

Resolution:

ALDERTON/COCKSHUTT

Recommendation:

That Council:

Representation on the Jobs Hub Steering Committee

1. RESOLVE that the Jobs Hub Steering Committee continue in its current capacity with the Mayor as its chair; and

Ballots

2. CONDUCT a ballot in accordance with the procedure in Part 3 of the *Committee Nominations and Appointments Policy* to determine the two (2) members-elect for the Safer Communities Working Group; and

The Chair left the meeting at 5:55pm

The Chair returned to the meeting at 5:57pm

That Council, having received the results of the ballot conducted under resolution 2 (above):

Safer Communities Working Group

3. APPOINT members-elect, Alderman Dunsby and Alderman Slade, to the two (2) positions on the Safer Communities Working Group.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

17. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question without notice – Alderman Dunsby (Council meeting – Monday, 29 April 2024)

Q: Can officers undertake a further review of parking options for large vehicles and trade vehicle parking because of the impact of parking overflow from these vehicles on the road network?

A: [Acting Mayor] This question was taken on notice.

Response: Council officers have conducted a thorough review of our legal jurisdiction and have determined that the Council lacks the necessary authority to mandate the provision of parking for large and trade vehicles. Furthermore, such enforcement falls outside the scope of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme concerning developments.

In response, Council officers will be reaching out to the major shopping centers situated in Glenorchy, Moonah, and Claremont. These communications will respectfully request that these centers consider the need for accommodating parking options for large and trade vehicles. However, it's important to clarify that Council does not possess the regulatory power to compel these centers to provide such accommodations.

Question without notice – Alderman Alderton (Council meeting – Monday, 29 April 2024)

Q1: Am I correct that Council is planning to construct a toilet block on the left-hand side of the War Memorial at Claremont?

A: [Director Infrastructure and Development] Yes, it is proposed to construct it on the hard left near the adult exercise equipment.

Q2: Are you aware that there is a plaque under the Lone Pine for Mr Jack Kirwan which may impact on construction of the toilet block?

A: [Director Infrastructure and Development] The question was taken on notice.

Response: After extensive consultation with the RSL and with their approval, the memorial plaque for Mr Jack Kerwin (returned serviceman) will be relocated and replaced with a new one with the planting of a new Lone Pine. The new (Lone) pine tree will help frame the existing gathering space to the Claremont RSL War Memorial area. It is understood from the RSL that Mr Kerwin's ashes are encapsulated in the concrete plinth and some of the ashes sprinkled under the Lone Pine tree. The plinth in its entirety will be relocated and the RSL will remove dirt beneath the tree and relocate this soil to the new Lone Pine location. An interpretive sign will also be installed by Council depicting the life and good deeds that Mr Jack Kerwin undertook for the community which led to the plaque being installed in the first place.

**Question without notice – Alderman Yaxley
(Council meeting – Monday, 27 May 2024)**

Q1: What engagement has the Community team had with the Education Department regarding our young people?

A: [Director Community and Corporate Services] The Community Team has the following connections with the Education provider:

Happy mentor Program in Cosgrove & Montrose Bay High Schools.

2 mentors are operating in these schools each week with disengaged youths.

What this program does:

- Lower high school dropout rates
- Stronger relationships with parents, teachers, and peers
- Knowledge transfers (experiences): Mentors pass on their experience, knowledge, and skills to the mentee, helping them learn and develop faster than they might on their own.
- Guidance and support: helping the mentee navigate challenges, make better decisions, and overcome obstacles.
- Building confidence: boost confidence by validating the mentee's abilities and offering constructive feedback.
- Skill development: in honing specific skills relevant to their field, accelerating their learning curve and making them more competitive in their chosen profession.

Safe City Working Group:

- Montrose Bay High School have a representative who has accepted invitation to join this working group – Tim Nicholas (Principle)

Thrive to 25 Youth Meetings:

- Representatives from the schools and education department are invited to the bi-monthly meetings here at council to speak about youth aged 0 to 25 years old.

Youth Events:

- We give posters of up coming youth events to schools to display which they kindly do.

Additionally, the Glenorchy Jobs Hub has worked with key stakeholders to build a supportive framework and to support youth find employment. We have a dedicated youth connector who has been working with the Department of Education to deliver these services directly within schools. Currently we have outreach in 5 schools/colleges. These are Hobart City High, Cosgrove High School, Claremont College, Montrose Bay High School, and Gilford Young College. These have been significant in supporting young job seekers to achieve their employment goals with outcomes ranging from employment and apprenticeships/traineeships to training and long-term career pathways/strategies.

Q2: Can the City of Glenorchy be assured that we will engage further with the Education Department as a matter of urgent priority, to help support these young people and also the impact it's having on our community?

A: [Director Community and Corporate Services] Yes, the previous bullet points and programs are ongoing and are currently running trying to address the current problems with Crime, anti-social behaviours and disengagement in schools and in the public.

The jobs hub will continue to work with multiple government departments in our collective goal of supporting young job seekers. The youth program is still in its infancy however we have been a key stakeholder in bringing together various organisational across the youth space.

The Hub has started the Glenorchy Youth Forum to bring together 23 different key stakeholders to share information and create collective strategies to improve outcomes for students. We are currently in the planning phase for the third session and hope to give continued support for these. These forums will enable us to present collective representations to both government and industry stakeholders.

The Hub has also just commenced a Jobs Tasmania funded program where staff in partnership with the Migrant Resource Centre and Smith Family will visit local schools to deliver a job ready program to Grades 10, 11 and 12.

Q3: What is the likelihood of providing a dedicated youth space in our City to support our young people?

A: [Director Community and Corporate Services] We have explored the creation of a dedicated youth space in the past and will continue to engage with key governmental and youth sector stakeholders to promote this idea. Our barriers were the resources to create a dedicated youth space. We did not have the resources, staffing, location, or practical financial resources to create this space. However, we would support and contribute to any collective approach to achieving this goal.

Additionally, the Property Services and Works Departments have been undertaking a programme to revitalise Council's playgrounds and skatepark. This has seen a number of improvements including Benjafield Park, Collinsvale, etc.

**Question without notice – Alderman Alderton
(Council meeting – Monday, 27 May 2024)**

Q1: Redevelopment in the northern suburbs, is there any way we can look at information on what is going to be installed and what is going to happen with the likes of Roseneath?

A: [Deputy General Manager] The Roseneath Playspace plans are being uploaded to the GCC website and will be available to the public from Thursday 30 May.

Q2: In regards to homelessness and housing developments in our community, has there been anything concrete submitted to Council on housing at the showgrounds site and will there be any announcements?

A: [General Manager] Council has two planning applications before it. There is a planning permit application to enable changes to the proposed new pavilion as a result of design changes required by cost escalation pressures. There is also a planning scheme amendment request to create a new specific area plan for the RAST site which will provide for housing development on this site. This is yet to be validated because it relies on owners consent from two non council entities.

**Question without notice – Alderman Cockshutt
(Council meeting – Monday, 27 May 2024)**

Q1: Should we be writing to the Minister for Sport about securing the site for the four multi-purpose courts promised to be built in Glenorchy?

A: [Acting Mayor] We will provide an update after meeting with the Minister this week.

[General Manager] Council Officers met with State Government officials today to identify the criteria for suitable sites for the multi-court facility. Council Officers will continue to work with State Government to identify the best site within the City of Glenorchy.

Q2: Can we bring the topic relating to potential sites for the indoor centre to a future workshop?

[General Manager] There is no reason why not. All parties have committed to progressing a new multi-court facility as quickly as possible.

**Question without notice – Councillor Kendall
(Council meeting – Monday, 27 May 2024)**

Q1: Noting that it is National Reconciliation week, when is our next progress report?

A: [Director Community and Corporate Services] The next report is due in July 2024.

Q2: Do we have anything in the budget for when the current Reflect RAP expires in September to go another round?

A: [Director Community and Corporate Services] The Reflect RAP will be reviewed in September 2024, but it does not expire. The Reflect RAP is able to continue indefinitely.

[General Manager] The most significant action completed by Council to date has been the handback of 12 Rothesay Circle land to the Karadi Aboriginal Corporation.

Resolution:

ALDERTON/SLADE

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed to members of the public and the live stream was terminated at 6:20pm.

The Chair adjourned the meeting for 10 minutes.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Closed session commenced at 6:29pm

18. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

YAXLEY/SLADE

That the minutes of the Council meeting (closed meeting) held on Monday, 29 April 2024 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

19. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

GOVERNANCE

Community Goal – Leading our Community

20. REQUEST FOR TENDER NO. 963 - MAIN ROAD GRANTON [560 MAIN RD TO BRIDGEWATER BRIDGE] PATHWAY CONSTRUCTION – REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO AWARD

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(d) (Contracts and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal).

Resolution:

DUNSBY/ALDERTON

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the report Request for Tender No. 963 - Main Road Granton [560 Main Rd to Bridgewater Bridge] Pathway Construction – Request for Approval to Award.
2. APPROVE awarding contract number 916 for construction of the Main Road Granton [560 Main Rd to Bridgewater Bridge] Pathway Construction works to Andrew Walter Constructions for the contract sum of \$689,071.47, which is exclusive of GST and inclusive of allowances for provisional sums.
3. AUTHORISE the Deputy General Manager to execute the contract.
4. After considering privacy and confidentiality issues, RESOLVE that the information contained in this report, decisions, discussions and documents:
 - (a) may be used and communicated by Council officers in the performance of their duties;
 - (b) may not be disclosed by Aldermen; and
 - (c) may be disclosed by the Mayor as the spokesperson of Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

21. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

Resolution:

SLADE/KENDALL

That the meeting be moved out of closed Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

Resolution:

ALDERTON/KENDALL

That the meeting be moved back into open Council.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Dunsby, Hickey, Cockshutt, Yaxley, Slade and Alderton
Councillors Quick and Kendall

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The Chair closed the meeting at 6:40pm.

Confirmed,

CHAIR