

**Minutes of the Meeting
of the Glenorchy City Council
held at the Council Chambers
on Monday, 25 March 2019 at 6.00 p.m.**



Present: Aldermen K. Johnston (Mayor), M. Stevenson (Deputy Mayor), P. Bull, M. Carlton, J. Dunsby, S. Fraser, S. King, G. Richardson, K. Sims and B. Thomas.

In attendance: Mr. T. McMullen (General Manager), Ms. J. Self (Director Corporate Services), Mr. D. Ronaldson (Director Community and Strategy), Ms. S. Fox (Director Development and Customer Services), Mr. T. Ross (Director Infrastructure and Works), Mr. B Hannan (Executive Officer), Ms. T House (Manager Finance and ICT), Mr. A Woodward (Acting Manager Property, Environment and Waste), Mrs. J Plunkett (Administrative Support Officer), Mrs. J. King (Mayoral and Executive Support Officer).

Workshops held since last Council Meeting **Date:** Monday, 25 February 2019
Purpose: To discuss:

- Tolosa Dam Remediation

Date: Monday, 18 March 2019
Purpose: To discuss:

- Budget Update
- Waste Management Strategy Feedback

Thirteen (13) members of the public attended the open part of the Council Meeting.

The meeting began with a prayer by Pastor David Leo from the Glenorchy Adventist Church.

The Chair acknowledged and paid respect to the Tasmanian Aboriginal Community as the traditional and original owners and continuing custodians of the land.

The Chair read the following two statements:

Statement 1:

In relation to the Audio Recording of the Council Meeting under regulation 33 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* and Council's 'Audio Recording of Council Meetings' Policy.

Statement 2:

In relation to work health and safety at the Council meeting.

1. APOLOGIES

None.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Resolution:

THOMAS/BULL

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on Monday, 25 February 2019 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

Harmony Week

The Chair acknowledged that we recently celebrated Harmony Week. Last week there was a lot activity in our municipality and it was pleasing to see our community come together for this very important event. After the recent tragic events in Christchurch, the message of Harmony Week is so important, not only during Harmony week, but also on every day of every week of every month of every year. Glenorchy is a welcoming city and we took that the opportunity to share the message that we welcome people, they belong in our community, they are safe in our community and they are valued in our community. The Chair advised that the events she attended during Harmony Week reiterated this message, and that she was pleased with the engagement from our Community.

Moonah Taste of the World

To end Harmony Week, Council celebrated the Moonah Taste of the World event on Sunday, 12 March 2019 at Benjafield Park. The Chair thanked all the staff and volunteers who worked so hard and put on a fantastic event. There was a bumper crowd of over 12,000 people which is the largest yet. All who attended thoroughly enjoyed themselves and the weather was perfect. The Chair said she hoped the State Government will continue funding for the event in the future.

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

The Chair asked if any Aldermen had or were likely to have a pecuniary interest in any items on the Agenda.

Alderman Sims declared an interest in item 20 (Membership of the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority).

The General Manager declared an interest in items 32 (General Manager's 2018 Performance Report) and 33 (General Manager's KPIs 2019).

Resolution:

STEVENSON/BULL

That the agenda be re-ordered to move item 20 to be discussed prior to item 8.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston,
Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

Resolution:

THOMAS/DUNSBY

That the agenda ne re-ordered to move item 18 to be discussed prior to item 17.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston,
Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Kaye Smith, Constance Avenue, Glenorchy

Q: Why can't the 'Announcements by the Mayor' (item 8) be included in the shortened version of the Council agenda that is made available to members of the public gallery?

A: The Announcements by the Mayor section of tonight's agenda has been made available to the public as a hand-out and will continue to be at future Council meetings.

Q: There was a dismal lack of Councillors at the recent launch of the Glenorchy CBD Revitalisation Project (only the Mayor and Ald. Dunsby attended). Can we also have a list (perhaps once every two months) of what events the other Aldermen have attended in their Aldermanic capacity.

A: Aldermen attend many events in their official and unofficial capacities during their terms. However, the regular publication of a list of these would be an onerous exercise and raises other considerations that make it impractical.

The task of compiling this information would place a heavy administrative burden on Council staff and would require the cooperation of all Aldermen.

Additionally, while the Mayor is provided with an allowance that enables that position to be a full-time role, the standard Aldermanic allowance does not equate to payment equivalent to a full time position, so individuals may need to juggle other paid work, which limits their ability to have the same level of Council/community engagement as the Mayor does. Publishing a list of engagements or activities attended would create an unfair comparison among Aldermen, some of whom may attend less events but choose to spend more time on other Aldermanic duties.

In relation to the event in question, the fact that it was held during a lunchtime hours does not make it practical for Aldermen with full-time jobs to attend, when factors such as travel time are included.

Most Aldermen publish details of their activities on their respective Facebook pages. Aldermen are also happy to provide details of their attendances and activities if contacted by phone or email.

Jim Bryan, 14 England Avenue, Montrose

Q: Where is the Schedule of Fees and Charges located on Council's website? Has it been taken down?

A: The Schedule of Fees and Charges can be found on the [Home > Council Documents > Budget](#) page on the website.

Q: How much of the Board of Inquiry Costs (of \$887,000) has been paid this year and how much has yet to be paid?

A: Council has not yet received an invoice for this financial year's payment. The scheduled payment for the 2018/19 financial year is \$134,000.

After the 2018/19 payment is made, there will be \$536k remaining. The fees are scheduled to be paid in instalments of \$134k per annum over the following 4 financial years.

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

Questions on Notice – Eddie Steenbergen, Rosetta

Q: In mid January this year the Federal government wrote to all councils asking them to respond to proposed changes to the Australian Citizenship Ceremonies Code, a document that lays out the ground rules for how and when citizenship ceremonies should be held.

What was Council's response?

A: Council received a letter from the Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs inviting feedback on the Australian Citizenship Ceremonies Code. Council did not provide any feedback on the code.

Q: At the January Council meeting we were told "Council officers have engaged KPMG to assist in the process of the DEC's potential sale. With KPMG's assistance, Council has drafted Request for Quotation (RFQ) documentation to engage a specialist real estate company to conduct the sale process. The RFQ documentation is currently being finalised is due for release in early February."

What progress has been made?

A: The RFQ documentation has not yet been released. The report on the proposed disposal of Wilkinsons Point which is to be considered at the current Council meeting recommends that further steps towards the disposal of the DEC are delayed until the section 178 public land disposal process for the Wilkinsons Point site has been completed.

Questions on Notice - Jan Bryan, 14 England Avenue, Montrose

In relation to the Proposal to Dispose of Public Land – Mill Lane (Existing Car Park) which was voted unanimously at Council meeting 25 February 2019, Mrs Bryan has asked the following questions.

1. **Has consideration been given to the effect of the Right of Carriageway on the land to be acquired and the fact that this should not be included in the area to be swapped?**
2. **Is it reasonable to exchange the land with established car spaces for land that will not render the same usability and number of car spaces especially when there appears to be a loss of 12 spaces from the Main Road at the present time? How was the car space surplus to requirement conclusion determined and was this methodology conveyed to aldermen prior to voting for this proposal?**
3. **Has a Road Safety Assessment of the change in traffic flow been undertaken and was this conveyed to aldermen prior to voting for this proposal?**
4. **The Glenorchy Health Centre has only 40 Car spaces and 40 employees have been identified on the Proposed Use and Development Report for the Health Centre. The approval for car spaces was based on the alternative parking available in proximity to the Site. On this basis how was the car space surplus to requirement conclusion determined and was this conveyed to aldermen prior to voting for this proposal?**
5. **Where will the taxi rank for vulnerable members of the community be located when the car spaces on the Main Road are removed? This will have an impact on the community as well as the decision to change parking arrangements with this proposal.**
6. **Has the environmental importance of the trees on this site including reference to Council's Tree Management policy and LGAT endorsed report also been addressed in the analysis and deliberations to dispose of this site?**

A: The Section 178 process that Council voted on in respect to the car park at Mill Lane was initiated in response to an expression of interest from a developer.

The questions raised are relevant, but it would be premature to give them detailed consideration until after the Community has been consulted as to whether it is happy for Council to dispose of the site and Council has made a decision as to whether to do so (i.e. until after the section 178 process is complete). The questions are of the type that would be asked as part of a development assessment process.

The advertising process for the section 178 process commences on Wednesday 20 March 2019. Representations will be accepted at the Council Chambers until the close of business on 11 April 2019.

It should also be noted that the community consultation process could expose additional developers interested in developing the site. Expressions of interest for the site were expressly welcomed in the report to Council.

Bob Pettit - Binya Street, Glenorchy

Q: What is the position of Glenorchy City Council on the ban on petroleum based single use plastic products (which the City of Hobart recently moved to ban)?

A: The question was taken on notice.

Q: Does the Council have a policy that dictates whether they use asphalt or concrete to construct footpaths?

A: The question was taken on notice.

James Bryan - England Avenue, Montrose

Q: In relation to the Proposal to Dispose of Public Land – Mill Lane (Existing Car Park), todays agenda says that public submissions will be invited until 11 April 2019, however the advertisement in the papers listed the date as 1 April 2019. Which date is correct?

A: The question was taken on notice.

Q: At Council's meeting on 17 December 2018 it was decided to provide \$11m of ratepayers funds to the Hobart City Deal over a period of years. Do the following objectives as outlined in the draft *Grater Hobart Act 2018* best describe how Council envisages how this funding will be used:

- 1. to facilitate the efficient flow of transport in the Greater Hobart area**
- 2. to further the maintenance and accessibility of existing and the development of new cultural sporting and recreational and community facilities,**
- 3. to encourage, promote and provide for urban renewal and affordable housing and their creation through higher density housing**

Is this how those funds would be used?

A: The question was taken on notice.

Q: In response to Alderman Fraser's questions about the Hobart City Deal, reference is made to the Glenorchy to Hobart Transport Study prepared by GHC dated October 2016. There are two reports by GHD: The 'Glenorchy to Hobart Public Transport Corridor Study' and the 'Glenorchy to Hobart Public Transit Corridor Value Capture Funding Analysis'. Neither are on the Glenorchy City Council Website. As these could have profound influence over decisions to be made in the future, could this be rectified so that ratepayers are better informed?

A: The question was taken on notice.

Q: Does the Council believe that a Special Local Government Rate, a mechanism to extract a portion of the financial benefits realised by local households to support the upfront cost of capital infrastructure investments, will need to be added to the current rate levied on Glenorchy Residents as discussed in the two rail corridor reports? This is to ease the funding burden to Government to provide those services. On page 9 of the report it suggested that Glenorchy City Council may need to provide \$30 to \$35 million in funding to support this project.

A: The question was taken on notice.

Janine Foley - Bethune Street, Chigwell

Ms. Foley submitted a series of written questions and background information. The questions (as submitted) are extracted below:

Question 1 – Insolvency

Q. Can GCC confirm that it reported (complied) to all relevant oversight (legislative) flow lines their concerns around their financial position in 2018? Did GCC comply with 'duty of care' requirements and expectations to report comprehensively to all relevant markets - in particular those sectors that would have experienced 'market shock' and resulting exposure to potential catastrophic lines/levels of risk from a GCC implosion?

Question 2 – GCC claims around community being able to 'absorb' the 12.5% tax

Q. How does GCC intend to prove that the tax increase has not led to community hardship?

Q. What measurable, observable matrix has GCC constructed to monitor and watch for unintended consequences? For example MPES <http://www.justice.tas.gov.au/fines/enforcementsanctios> has a range of sanctions available from "Suspend your driver licence or eligibility to hold a driver licence" to Monetary Penalty Community Service Orders (MPCSOs). Is GCC monitoring any increase in MPES activity that may indicate an increase in hardship and suffering related to the inability to meet the 12.5% tax burden?

Question 3 – Major project failure – Deed of grant framework Derwent Park Water Treatment Plant

Q. Prior to 28 May 2018 how (greenlight report line) did GCC establish (deed milestone release) ownership of asset base valued by GCC at decommission at AUD \$12 million?

- Q Does GCC retain any IP rights associated with project/asset?**
- Q. When will the release of the business case to stakeholder (ratepayers who were forced to be investor/ stockholder/ shareholder in this project with the expectation of return on investment) take place? What form will this information be presented in? How will stakeholder feedback be harvested and invested into historical risk management review?**

Question 4 – Return to market – Sale of public asset – Wilkinsons Point including DEC

- Q. Where is the information brief relating to a comprehensive analytic of DEC financial position (2016 – current) that will anchor discussion around performance, capacity/capability, and reputation of the DEC that the Mercury article is based on? This document should clearly explain how much ratepayers have invested in the DEC and how that return on investment will be realised? It must also honestly and with organisational integrity explain that the current extensive community usage will cease unless council negotiates continued usage patterns remain after this public commons is lost to future generations of Tasmanians.**

Question 5 – Internal audit and emergent risk management – GCC assumptions around underwriting of unacceptable and high level risk practice

- Q. Can GCC assure stakeholder community that it is confident that it can rigorously and robustly defend current practice regime, conduct, and risk management and organisational infrastructure against likely claims which may be constructed in relation to unacceptable/problematic risk in relation to herbicide usage across GCC LGA?**
- Q. Is GCC confident of robust organisational hygiene practice which will allow an ability to defend against potential claim from agricultural sector about use including incompetent, non— compliant usage, monitoring and audit of herbicide driving herbicide resistance that may threaten agricultural practice and result in loss or damage?**
- Q. Is GCC confident/ secure in assumptions around stakeholder ability and willingness to underwrite risk around emergent and historical areas of concern relating to herbicide usage across the GCC LGA?**
- Q. Is GCC confident that it is managing emergent / historical risk in relation to workplace applicators? This includes practice relating to container disposal — reinstate — provision of laundry facility— regular health monitoring, recording and audit of work based practice to limit exposure (ingestion, inhalation and absorption) to applicators ?**

- Q. Is GCC confident that it is managing emergent/historical risk in relation contract applicators? This includes practice relating to container disposal — reinstate — provision of laundry facility - regular health monitoring, recording and audit of work based practice to limit exposure (ingestion, inhalation and absorption) to contract applicators ?
- Q. Is GCC confident that it is managing emergent and historical risk in relation members of the public? This includes practice relating to educating, informing, managing exposure (ingestion, inhalation and absorption) related health risk? This involves pathways of mitigation offered to and data management around preventing exposure to vulnerable and at risk population i.e. children, pregnant women, elderly, chronic illness, cancer diagnose, cancer remission, cancer treatment, environment and so on. This includes information management and audit process around mitigation (eg Do Not Spray, Adverse Effects and so on) to prevent exposure of self-selecting population who have conducted personal risk assessment around GCC use of herbicide across GCC LGA.
- Q. Has GCC sought input consultation in relation to use of herbicide and emergent and historic risk e.g. The Cancer Council - RCGP - and so on?
- A. The questions were taken on notice.

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

None.

Alderman Sims declared an interest in the following item and left the meeting at 6.17 pm.

20. MEMBERSHIP OF SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS AUTHORITY

File Reference: STCA

Reporting Brief:

To review Council's membership of the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority (STCA).

Resolution:

STEVENSON/KING

That Council:

1. RESOLVE to withdraw from the STCA at the conclusion of the current membership period, and
2. NOTIFY the STCA Board and each of the other STCA Members in accordance with the STCA's rules that Council gives notice (of not less than 3 months) of its intention to withdraw from the STCA and advise that it will no longer be a member after 30 June 2019.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser and Carlton.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

Alderman Sims returned to the meeting at 6:17 pm.

COMMUNITY

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

File Reference: Mayoral Announcements

Reporting Brief:

To receive the announcement of events attended by the Mayor.

Resolution:

BULL/THOMAS

That Council:

RECEIVE the announcements about the activities of the Mayor's office during the period from 19 February 2019 to 18 March 2019.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

9. SAFER COMMUNITIES FRAMEWORK

File Reference: Safer Communities Framework

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts the *Safer Communities Framework 2019 – 2029*.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the Safer Communities Framework 2019-2029 in the form of Attachment 1, and
2. NOTE the Safer Communities 12 Month Implementation Plan in the form of Attachment 2.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

10. SUCCESSFUL AGEING FRAMEWORK 2019-2024

File Reference: Successful Ageing Strategy

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts the *Successful Ageing Framework 2019-2024*.

Resolution:

THOMAS/BULL

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the *Successful Ageing Framework 2019-2024*, and
2. THANK all who were involved in the development of the framework.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

11. SAFER COMMUNITIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

File Reference: Safer Communities Committee

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council endorses updated Terms of Reference (**ToR**) for Council's Safer Communities Advisory Committee.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/KING

That Council:

1. APPROVE the revised Terms of Reference for the Safer Communities Advisory Committee, and

2. REQUEST a report on the community members recommended for appointment to the Committee once the application process is complete.

Amendment:

CARLTON/THOMAS

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

That Council:

1. INSERT the following words in section 2.5 of the Committee's Terms of Reference:
"Successful applicants will be asked to provide a copy of a current Police Check or Working with Vulnerable People Registration prior to appointment."
2. APPROVE the revised Terms of Reference for the Safer Communities Advisory Committee, and
3. REQUEST a report on the recruitment and selection of members recommended for appointment to the Committee once the application process is complete.

The amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The amendment was CARRIED.

The amended motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

12. ACCESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

File Reference: Access Advisory Committee

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council endorses updated Terms of Reference for Council's Access Advisory Committee.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/SIMS

That Council:

1. APPROVE the revised Terms of Reference for the Access Advisory Committee, with an amendment to clause 2.1 to include the words "up to" before the words "8 persons with a disability"
2. INSERT the following words in section 2.5 of the Committee's Terms of Reference:
"Successful applicants will be asked to provide a copy of a current Police Check or Working with Vulnerable People Registration prior to appointment."
3. REQUEST a report on the recruitment and selection of members recommended for appointment to the Committee once the application process is complete.

Amendment

CARLTON/SIMS

That the following be included as paragraph 4 of the motion:

4. In clause 4.1 of terms of reference, replace the words "formal meeting" with "Council workshop".

The amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The amendment was CARRIED.

The amended motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

13. ARTS AND CULTURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

File Reference: Glenorchy Arts and Culture Advisory Committee

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council endorses updated Terms of Reference for Council's Arts and Culture Advisory Committee.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/SIMS

That Council:

1. APPROVE the revised Terms of Reference for the Arts and Culture Advisory Committee
2. INSERT the following words in section 2.5 of the Committee's Terms of Reference:
"Successful applicants will be asked to provide a copy of a current Police Check or Working with Vulnerable People Registration prior to appointment."
3. REQUEST a report on the recruitment and selection of members recommended for appointment to the Committee once the application process is complete.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

14. GLENORCHY YOUTH TASK FORCE (GYTF)

File Reference: Glenorchy Youth Task Force

Reporting Brief:

To advise Council of the recent review of the Glenorchy Youth Task Force (**GYTF**) Terms of Reference and to recommend that the GYTF ceases to operate as a special committee of council.

Resolution:

THOMAS/SIMS

That Council:

1. RESOLVE that Glenorchy Youth Task Force ceases to operate as a special committee of Council, and
2. RESOLVE that the Glenorchy Youth Task Force review the outcomes of the change in 2 years time.

Alderman Fraser left the meeting at 7.04 pm.

Alderman Fraser returned to the meeting at 7.06 pm.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

15. COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY - SOCIAL MEDIA

File Reference: Social Media

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts a Social Media Policy, note the Social Media Strategy and approve the launch of a Glenorchy City Council Facebook page.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/BULL

That Council:

1. APPROVE the draft Glenorchy City Council Social Media Policy, and
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager launch Council's Facebook page once satisfied that the necessary preparations are complete.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

ECONOMIC

16. PROPOSAL TO DISPOSE OF PUBLIC LAND - WILKINSONS POINT

File Reference: DEC Proposals

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council:

- (a) forms an intention under section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993* to dispose of public land, being the land in Certificate of Title Volume 157350 Folios 1 and 2, more commonly known as Wilkinsons Point, and

- (b) delays taking any further steps towards the disposal of the Derwent Entertainment Centre until after the completion of the process under section 178 of the Act in respect of Wilkinsons Point.

Resolution

FRASER/STEVENSON

That Council:

1. RESOLVE under section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993* (**the Act**) to form an intention to dispose, exchange or lease public land, being the land in Certificate of Title Volume 157350 Folios 1 and 2 situated at Wilkinsons Point (**the Land**) in whole or in part, subject to the condition that public access to the entire foreshore and roadway (Loyd Road) be maintained
2. AUTHORISE the General Manager to take all actions necessary to complete public notification of Council's intent to exchange, sell or lease the land in accordance with section 178 of the Act and Council's Disposal of Council Land Policy
3. AUTHORISE the General Manager to consider and acknowledge any objection received pursuant to section 178(6) of the Act and report to a future Council meeting, and
4. DELAY taking any further steps towards the disposal of the Derwent Entertainment Centre until after the completion of the process under section 178 of the Act in respect of the Land.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED by absolute majority.

ENVIRONMENT

18. FOOD ORGANICS GARDEN ORGANICS (FOGO) BUSINESS CASE

File Reference: FOGO

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council commences a fortnightly food organics and garden organics (**FOGO**) kerbside service in 2020 using 240L bins, and, due to the significant size of blocks, that residents in the Rural Living and Rural Resource zones are provided with the option to opt in to the service.

Resolution

STEVENSON/SIMS

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the food organics and garden organics (**FOGO**) business case and accept the recommendation to deliver a service commencing in 2020 to the following service level:
 - (a) a fortnightly service using 240L bins for all residents (except as specified in recommendation 1b)
 - (b) residents in areas zoned rural resource and rural living have the option to opt in to the service, and
 - (c) an implementation plan be developed to consider in more detail the roll out of the service including timing, servicing of units and commercial developments.
2. RESOLVE that fees and charges associated with the provision of a FOGO service be considered as part of the 2019/20 budget process
3. WRITE to Hobart City Council to form a working group to resolve how to provide a cross-municipal Food and Organics waste service
4. WRITE to other southern councils to invite them be involved in that working group
5. RESOLVE to allow the Mayor to finalise the Terms of Reference with Hobart City Council if it agrees to participate in the working group, and
6. INDICATE to Hobart City Council that it would like the task of the working group to be concluded within 8 weeks to ensure that potential service delivery is not delayed to ratepayers.

Foreshadowed motion:

Alderman Dunsby foreshadowed the following motion:

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the food organic and garden organic (FOGO) business case and accept the recommendation to deliver a service commencing in 2020 to the following service level:
 - (a) a fortnightly service using 240l bins for all residents (except as specified in 1B)
 - (b) that residents who can demonstrate significant hardship by the introduction of the service can opt out by way of submission of a statutory declaration, and
 - (c) an implementation plan be developed to consider in more detail the roll out of the service including timing, servicing of units, servicing of residents in zoned rural resource and rural living areas, and commercial developments
2. RESOLVE that fees and charges associated with the provision of a FOGO service be considered as part of the 2019/20 budget process, and
3. RESOLVE that the Mayor write to Councils to initiate discussions on the provision of a common and affordable FOGO service to all communities in the southern region.

Alderman King foreshadowed the following motion:

That a new paragraph be inserted into paragraph 1 of the resolution as follows:

“Those who currently compost their own waste or don’t wish to have an extra bin on site or incur the additional cost can opt out of FOGO.”

The original motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST: Aldermen Dunsby and King.

The motion was CARRIED.

17. WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY REVISED 2019

File Reference: Waste Management Strategy

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts the Waste Management Strategy, Revised 2019.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/RICHARDSON

That Council:

ADOPT the Waste Management Strategy Revised 2019 ([Attachment 1](#)).

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

GOVERNANCE

Having submitted a nomination in respect of the following item, Mayor Johnston vacated the chair at 8.08 pm and Deputy Mayor Stevenson took the chair.

19. NOMINATIONS FOR GENERAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION OF TASMANIA (LGAT)

File Reference: LGAT

Reporting Brief:

To seek Council's nomination of an eligible Alderman to a position on the General Management Committee of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) and Council's consideration of whether to make a nomination for position of President of LGAT.

Resolution:

KING/RICHARDSON

That Council:

1. NOMINATE Alderman Kristie Johnston to a position on the General Management Committee of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT), and
2. CONSIDER at the Council meeting on 29 April 2019 whether to nominate an eligible alderman or councillor for President of LGAT.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

Mayor Johnston resumed the chair at 8.15 pm.

21. COUNCIL POLICIES

File Reference: Council Policy

Reporting Brief:

To present one (1) new Council policy and one (1) revised Council policy to Council for adoption.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/SIMS

That Council:

1. ADOPT the updated Business Continuity Policy in the form of Attachment 1, and
2. ADOPT an updated Legal Services Policy containing the changes outlined in Attachment 2

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

22. ADOPTION OF CUSTOMER SERVICE CHARTER

File Reference: Customer Service - Guidelines and Standards (Customer Service)

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council readopts its Customer Service Charter and notes the Service Level Commitment required under recent amendments to the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Resolution:

FRASER/RICHARDSON

That Council:

1. READOPT the Customer Service Charter in the form of Attachment 1
2. NOTE the Service Level Commitment in the form of Attachment 2, and
3. NOTE that the General Manager is required to:
 - (a) provide a copy of the Charter to the Director of Local Government within 14 days, and
 - (b) make a copy of the Charter available for public inspection, free of charge, at Council Chambers during ordinary office hours and on Council's website.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

23. UPDATED SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES

File Reference: Finance

Reporting Brief:

To recommend that Council adopts an updated version of the 2018/19 Schedule of Fees and Charges to correct an error and improve accessibility.

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/THOMAS

That Council:

ADOPT an updated version of the 2018/19 Schedule of Fees and Charges in the form of Attachment 1.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

24. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORTS TO 31 JANUARY AND 28 FEBRUARY 2019

File Reference: Corporate and Financial Reporting

Reporting Brief:

To provide the monthly Financial Performance Reports to Council for the periods ending 31 January and 28 February 2019.

Resolution:

FRASER/BULL

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Financial Performance Reports for the year-to-date, ending 31 January and 28 February 2019 (in the form of Attachment 1 and Attachment 2) respectively.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

25. MINISTERIAL DIRECTIONS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Ministerial Directions

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of the progress towards completing the action items out of the Ministerial Directions Implementation Plan for the period ending 19 March 2019.

Resolution:

DUNSBY/STEVENSON

That Council:

NOTE the monthly report on the progress satisfying the Ministerial Directions as at 19 March 2019.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

26. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS - MONTHLY REPORT

File Reference: Procurement

Reporting Brief:

To inform Council of exemptions that have been applied to the procurement requirements under Council's Code for Tenders and Contracts for the period 19 February to 19 March 2019 and provide updates on other relevant procurement matters.

Resolution:

STEVENSON/DUNSBY

That Council:

RECEIVE and NOTE the Procurement and Contracts Monthly Report for the period from 18 February to 19 March 2019.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

27. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

Question without Notice - Alderman Carlton

Q. How do we treat the private information of individuals who are part of our special committees, and if we receive a police check that indicates certain items, how is the information on that police check treated when we are assessing their application?

- A. (Director, Community and Strategy) It is suggested that the process should be that only applicants who are able to satisfy the requirements for the committee (i.e. having passed a police check) are then put forward for nomination for the committee. We will review our human resources policies and procedures for guidance on whether these apply to applicants for positions on special committees are subject to the same processes and procedures as other employees, and what the requirements in relation to police checks are.

Motion without Notice – Alderman Thomas

THOMAS/BULL

That Council receives a report on the options for and impacts of reducing the use of single-use plastics in our community.

Amendment:

SIMS/CARLTON

Add the words “including a staged approach” at the end of the motion.

The amendment was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Johnston, Richardson, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST: Aldermen Stevenson and Fraser.

The amendment was CARRIED.

The amended motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Johnston, Richardson, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST: Aldermen Stevenson and Fraser.

The motion was CARRIED.

Motion without Notice – Alderman Richardson

RICHARDSON/KING

That Council receives a report on the mechanism for requiring members of Council committees to have a working with vulnerable people check or a police check.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST: Alderman Stevenson.

The motion was CARRIED.

27.1. QUESTION ON NOTICE - ALDERMAN S. FRASER (TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT, GROVE AND ELWICK ROADS)

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice from Alderman Simon Fraser submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question:

A number of Glenorchy residents are concerned about the difficulty and safety of turning out of Grove Rd onto Elwick Rd, Glenorchy, due to increased traffic congestion. Has Council investigated traffic management options such as traffic lights for this intersection? If so, what plans are there to implement them?

Answer:

There is a Keep-Clear zone painted at the intersection where Grove Road turning onto Elwick Road to ensure that, in a congested traffic situation, drivers can still exit Grove Road in a safe and effective manner.

Council staff have previously investigated traffic management options such as traffic lights and roundabout for this intersection, including traffic modelling. The investigation indicated that both options would reduce the performance of the intersection such as causing delays and queuing.

Council acknowledge the benefit of a change in the traffic management at this intersection in terms of improving accessibility and safety and have previously sought external funding from the Blackspot Program managed by the Department State Growth. This grant request was unsuccessful as it did not provide sufficient benefit.

Council currently does not have any plans or budget allocated for works at this junction. Council's preference is to retain the efficiency of Elwick Road. Road users from this area can turn left to access the Brooker Highway and have options to travel in the other direction to access Main Road.

27.2. QUESTION ON NOTICE - ALDERMAN S. FRASER (NORTHERN SUBURBS TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT)

File Reference: Question on Notice

Question on Notice:

To consider a Question on Notice from Alderman Simon Fraser submitted in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Question:

As part of the recently signed City Deal, \$25 million has been granted for the Northern Suburbs Transit Corridor project. What plans are in place to change zoning/planning laws to allow for higher density residential/mixed residential developments around the corridor? If this work has not started what are the main impediments to doing so? Can some of the money granted through the City Deal be used to prioritise this work?

Answer:

City Deals are partnerships between three levels of government and the community to work towards a shared vision for productive and liveable cities. The Hobart City Deal includes (amongst other things):

- Creating a *Greater Hobart Transport Vision* to guide a coordinated approach to transport planning, including for private vehicles, busways, light rail and ferries. This will include considering ways to support the future use of the Northern Transport Corridor (running from Hobart through Glenorchy), and

- Establishing a *Greater Hobart Act* to provide a strategic framework for the Tasmanian Government and the Clarence, Glenorchy, Hobart and Kingborough councils to collaborate and support the achievement of outcomes that enhance liveability, connectivity, and operational efficiencies across the municipal areas.

Under the Hobart City Deal, the Australian Government has committed an additional \$25 million to ease congestion and improve access in and around the city's Northern Suburbs by activating the Northern Suburbs Transit Corridor.

Since 2009, various ideas have been put forward for development of the Northern Transit Corridor along the unused rail corridor between Hobart and Brighton. Several independent consultancies have examined the economic viability of a rapid transit service along the Corridor, under a range of operational scenarios. In 2016, the *Glenorchy to Hobart Public Transport Corridor Study* was prepared by GHD for the Glenorchy City Council and Hobart City Council Joint Steering Committee. The report found that Corridor has the potential to deliver significant economic benefits to the area, attract higher density forms of development, enable urban renewal, provide stimulus to strengthen the critical mass of commercial, retail and residential activity, and facilitate the development of a series of transit precincts along the Corridor. It has been estimated that the total cost of developing the Corridor, including costs associated with urban renewal, would be approximately \$200 million.

Along with Hobart City Council, Glenorchy City Council has provided direct investment totalling an estimated \$100,000 as well as in-kind support for studies/reports on the Northern Transport Corridor. Council has also committed an estimated \$3 million over 10 years to maintenance and renewal of the Council-managed portion of the Corridor, \$5.795 million towards the Glenorchy CBD revitalisation and between \$2 million and \$2.5 million p.a. to renew, upgrade and construct new footpaths/cycleways in the municipality.

To enable future development along the Northern Transport Corridor, Glenorchy City Council has carefully considered and implemented appropriate land use zoning. It has applied Utilities zoning to the Corridor to protect it from inappropriate conversion to other uses. In addition, the *Glenorchy Planning Scheme 2015* includes the Glenorchy and Moonah activity centres within a Central Business and General Business Zone, respectively, and substantial land close to these centres within an Inner Residential Zone. These zones encourage mixed use development at higher residential densities. These zones are proposed to be carried over into the State Planning Scheme under the *Draft Glenorchy Local Provisions Schedule*.

As such, there are currently large areas of land along the Northern Transit Corridor that are zoned and available for residential development. Over the coming months, Council staff will review the controls on height, density and design in these areas to see if they can be changed to encourage appropriate mixed used development.

As these zones are part of the State controlled Scheme, Council will advocate for the Tasmanian Government to review these zones (and other non-planning tools – such as housing grants or pilot projects), to incentivise higher density mixed use development on land in the Corridor already zoned for housing.

Prior to pursuing any additional rezoning for residential use along the Corridor, Council will consider the possible economic impacts if industrial and commercial land is lost from these areas. This will form part of Council's analysis of supply and demand for residential, commercial and industrial uses across the whole municipality. This work may identify precincts within or near the Corridor where industrial uses are no longer viable and where land could be rezoned for housing.

Glenorchy City Council will undertake required planning work and will encourage densification and redevelopment along the Corridor as part of its contribution to the City Deal. Glenorchy City Council will also consider providing Council land where appropriate to enable development along the Corridor.

Resolution:

KING/DUNSBY

That the meeting be closed to the public to allow discussion of matters that are described in Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

STEVENSON/KING

That the meeting be adjourned for 10 minutes.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was adjourned at 8.55 pm

The meeting resumed 9.08 pm

28. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES (CLOSED MEETING)

Resolution:

RICHARDSON/SIMS

That the minutes of the Council Meeting (Closed meeting) held on Monday, 25 February 2019 be confirmed.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

29. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

ENVIRONMENT

27. TOLOSA DAM DECOMMISSIONING AND REMEDIATION PROJECT UPDATE

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).

GOVERNANCE

31. KGV SPORTS AND COMMUNITY PRECINCT - PROJECT UPDATE

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(b) (Information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage or impose a commercial disadvantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct, business.) and 15(2)(g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).

Resolution:

STEVENSON/KING

That item 34 be dealt with before items 32 and 33 on the Agenda.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

34. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)

The General Manager and staff left the meeting at 9.28pm

32. GENERAL MANAGER'S 2018 PERFORMANCE REPORT

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters) and (g) (Information of a personal and confidential nature or information provided to the Council on the condition it is kept confidential).

33. GENERAL MANAGER'S KPIS 2019

This item is to be considered at a closed meeting of the Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Regulation 15(2)(a) (Personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the Council and industrial relations matters).

Resolution:

KING/DUNSBY

That the meeting be re-opened to the public.

The motion was put.

FOR: Aldermen Bull, Thomas, Dunsby, King, Stevenson, Johnston, Richardson, Fraser, Carlton and Sims.

AGAINST:

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting was closed at 10.04 p.m.

Confirmed,

CHAIR